

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,040 HEER ET AL.	
	Examiner San-ming Hui	Art Unit 1617

All Participants:

(1) San-ming Hui. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Kenneth Crimaldi, attorney of record. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Crimaldi informed the Examiner that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed February 9, 2006

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 8/18/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)